



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.116
Expedited Procedure
Examining Group (2674)

AF/2700
12/leg for
Reconsid
2-26-02
NP

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Morgan

Art Unit: 2674

Serial No.: 09/088,674

Examiner: Nguyen, Kevin M.

Filed: 06/02/1998

Docket No. TI-22575

For: **BOUNDARY DISPERSION FOR MITIGATING PWM TEMPORAL CONTOURING
ARTIFACTS IN DIGITAL DISPLAYS**


RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

January 28, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2002

MAILING CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(A)	
I hereby certify that the above correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date shown below.	
	1-28-2002
Charles A. Brill	Date

Dear Sir:

Technology Center 2600

In response to the Examiner's Action mailed November 28, 2001, applicant responds as follows:

REMARKS

This application was originally filed on 2 June 1998 with ten claims, two of which were written in independent form. No claims have been allowed. Claims 1 and 6 were amended on 4 October 2000.

Claims 1-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,995,163 to Fossum (Fossum) in view of U.S. Patent 5,963,261 to Dean (Dean). The applicant respectfully disagrees and submits the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

"Under § 103, the scope and content of the prior art are to be determined; differences between the prior art and the claims at issue are to be ascertained; and the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art resolved. Against this background, the obviousness or nonobviousness of the